

Coastal eutrophication: Whether N and/or P should be abated depends on the dynamic mass balance

Whether nitrogen (N) and/or phosphorus (P) should be abated to counteract coastal eutrophication remains controversial. System-wide lake experiments presented in PNAS have shown that P control was essential for dampening algal blooms whereas N control only strengthened the competitive advantage of cyanobacteria and increased fixation of dissolved N_2 from the atmosphere (1).

We have recently found that P concentrations and fluxes in all basins of the Baltic Sea could be dynamically modeled with good results using a general set of calibration constants and that key operational bioindicators, such as chlorophyll concentration and Secchi depth, may be predicted from modeled P concentrations without taking N loadings into account. N models for this area either provide poor predictions in some basins or require basin-specific calibration, which fundamentally undermines the credibility of their predictions. Many major N fluxes are also highly variable and uncertain (2).

This issue involves high societal stakes. An abatement plan for the Baltic Sea, which will cost \$4 billion per year (3), was

signed by all Baltic Sea countries in 2007. According to calculations by the Swedish Department of Agriculture, N reductions in the plan cannot be fulfilled unless a large part of Swedish agriculture would be permanently shut down (4). However, upgrading urban sewage treatment of P in the catchment could decrease the trophic state of the Baltic Sea to levels of the years 1900–1920 (2). Conversely, N abatement is a very expensive shot in the dark that may favor cyanobacteria instead of the water quality.

Andreas C. Bryhn¹ and Lars Håkanson

Department of Earth Sciences, Uppsala University, Villavägen 16, 752 33 Uppsala, Sweden

1. Schindler DW, et al. (2008) Eutrophication of lakes cannot be controlled by reducing nitrogen input: Results of a 37-year whole-ecosystem experiment. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105:11254–11258.
2. Håkanson L, Bryhn AC (2008) *Eutrophication in the Baltic Sea: Present Situation, Nutrient Transport Processes, Remedial Strategies* (Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg).
3. Nordic Environment Finance Corporation (2007) *HELCOM Baltic Sea Action Plan, Background Document on Financing and Cost Efficiency—Case: Eutrophication* (HELCOM, Krakow, Poland).
4. Swedish EPA (2008) *Sweden's Commitment to the Baltic Sea Action Plan* (Report 5830, Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, Stockholm).

Author contributions: A.C.B. and L.H. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: andreas.bryhn@geo.uu.se.

© 2008 by The National Academy of Sciences of the USA